

The global world order is centralized at levels above the nation-state

The illusions of a transition from a "unipolar" U.S. to "multi-polar" BRICS order



NEOLIBERAL FEUDALISM

APR 15, 2024



100



55



26

Share



Until you know who has lent what to whom, you know nothing whatever of politics, you know nothing whatever of history, you know nothing of international wrangles. - Ezra Pound

In the 2022 Brazil presidential election Brazil's version of the deep state successfully rigged the election against popular populist Bolsonaro, [running the same strategy](#) that they had deployed against Trump in America. Protesters [had their funds stolen](#) from their bank accounts and they were held down and [forcibly COVID vaccinated against their will](#) and then jailed. Lula, the globohomo candidate, immediately announced that Brazil [would begin de-dollarization efforts](#). Lawfare efforts [commenced against Bolsonaro](#) and he fled the country.

During the 2022 Canadian Freedom Convoy protests against COVID vaccine mandates, Justin Trudeau and one of his top ministers announced that [they would steal the funds out of the bank accounts of the protesters](#). The next day, chagrined, [they reversed themselves](#) - they had [received a reprimand from above](#); it was not yet time to roll it out in Canada. Meanwhile, [all new trucks by 2025](#) will have remote kill-switches installed in them, preventing such protests from fomenting in the future.

In 2022 Pakistan's National Assembly and Supreme Court ousted populist leader Imran Khan and lawfare efforts were [then initiated to imprison him](#). Khan was ousted because he was neutral in the Russia/Ukraine conflict.

In 2022 Romania [arrested populist clown-figure Andrew Tate](#) because Tate was upsetting globohomo with his pro-masculinity takes. Romania had little to no interest in investigating or imprisoning Tate but did so on orders from above.

In 2020-2021 the CIA [attempted to overthrow Alexander Lukashenko in Belarus](#), repeating what they accomplished against a democratically elected leader in Ukraine, which was thwarted.

In mid-2023 [Russian nationalist Igor Strelkov](#) and many others were arrested and thrown in prison by authorities with little to no justification offered during the [ongoing globohomo-sponsored Ukraine war](#).

I could go on as these are just a handful of examples. These events are viewed as disparate events by most people, but I see them as a connected conspiracy, orchestrated from the owners of the world central banks who use the political actors of their nation-state subjects to crush populism wherever it is found.

Look, all one has to do is observe the worldwide coordinated efforts during COVID to see how global this monster is. Every country in the world worked in lockstep on economic shutdowns and forced untested, deadly vaccinations, including the so-called independent states of China, Russia, Iran, Brazil, Saudi etc. Only Africa was exempt from these forced measures and Sweden feebly resisted to a very limited extent. Dissenters worldwide to this process were banned from the public realm, lost their jobs or worse. Of course the creator of the PCR test, the gold standard of COVID testing, believed the PCR test was [wildly inappropriate for testing for COVID](#) (and he curiously died [right before the start of COVID](#)), but this was seen as a *benefit* by globohomo because they could get any result they wanted from the tests depending on the number of cycles they used for amplification (amplifying tests more than 25x would come back positive for anything, and there are reports COVID tests were amplified at varying rates over time but as high as 40x) — in other words, globohomo could create surges of COVID waves at their will depending on political needs.

See [this great post](#) by Iain Davis where he breaks the argument down further:

All governments in all major economies are avid enthusiasts of SDGs, biosecurity, digitalisation, tokenisation, the censorship of "disinformation," CBDC (digital money), population surveillance and, most crucially, global governance under the auspices of the United Nations (UN)...**This suggests oligarch control external of international relations and conflicts. There is evidence of supranational sovereignty and political authority being exercised, right now, by a global network that operates beyond the reach of national governments”**...

Quite evidently, there is very real and bitter conflict between nations and it is causing immense suffering. In fact, one of our chief concerns is that the transition to a MWO will cause significantly more suffering.


What we are saying is that there is no disagreement on the pillars from any quarter. But this is no claim that national governments are “all in it together.” On the contrary, **the fact that**

there is both conflict and, at the same time, global agreement on the pillars, suggests a “geopolitical reality” that no member of the multipolar fan club seemingly wants to discuss.


Agreement on the pillars does not suggest all national governments are of one, single hive mind. It suggests that governments do not control the global governance system. They are subject to it, just like the rest of us. The best they can achieve is "partner" status. And they are not senior partners.

The pillars did not originate with national governments. The pillars were mapped out by public-private globalist think tanks and international organisations that serve the interests of oligarchs.

The cabal structure is represented as follows from [here](#):




The BIS is the coordinating entity of the world central banks and is based in Basil, Switzerland. It is not subject to the laws of Switzerland and has its own police force. The BIS itself is owned by a very small number of families although the exact details are of course closely guarded and not released for public consumption. See [this](#) link which explains how most of the layers above the Policy Subject level are exempted from paying taxes. In other words, this system is a *worldwide parasitical system* that *extracts* wealth from the public for its own consumption.



The private owners of the world central banks are seemingly animated by a malevolent Demiurgic spirit which seeks a total inversion of human values and quite possibly an end to humanity itself, as *Tree of Woe* points out [here](#). While they may not be *literally* inspired by an actual Demon, their actions are indistinguishable from it.

As Ezra Pound said, “Wars in old times were made to get slaves. The modern implement of imposing slavery is *debt*.” The central banks of the world print funds out of thin air and then loan those funds to governments *at interest*. If you think U.S. debt is bad now, look at what is being projected by the Treasury:

HISTORICAL AND CURRENT POLICY PROJECTIONS FOR DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC 1980-2096



Debt held by the public is a measure of all federal debt that the federal government owes to those outside of the federal government. It includes debt held by individuals, businesses, **banks**, insurance companies, state and local governments, pension funds, mutual funds, foreign governments, foreign businesses and individuals, and the U.S. Federal Reserve Bank. An increase in government borrowing reduces the amount of money available to other borrowers, putting upward pressure on interest rates and reducing private investment.

I had previously posted a representation of this neoliberal feudal structure as follows:



FEUDALISM THEN & NOW



Medieval Feudalism

Corporate Feudalism

By Rank

% of Population (Est.)

By Rank

Monarchs

(Kings, Queens, Emperors...)



.00001



Owners of the Central Banks

(Rothschilds, Warburgs, Milners...)

Landed Gentry

(Dukes, Earls, Barons...)



.0001



Big Bankers

(JP Morgan, Goldman Sachs, Lloyd's...)

Clergy

(Archbishops, Priests...)



.35



Corporate Elite

(Fortune 500, Multinationals...)

Royal Ministers

(Chancellors, Constables, Sheriffs...)



.2



Elected Officials

(Presidents, MPs, Representatives...)

Merchants

(Traders, Explorers, East India Co...)



.2



Top Bureaucrats

(Commissioners, Secretaries, Judges...)

Vassals

(Tenant Farmers, Military Officers...)



.75



Top Professionals

(Doctors, Entertainers, Clergy...)

Everyone Else

(Peasants, Laborers, Conscripts...)



98.5



Everyone Else

(Workers, Students, Soldiers...)


You Are Here*

Data from U.S. Census & Bureau of Labor Statistics

* Unless you're in the top 1.5%

One of the last things that Julian Assange [had tweeted](#) was a [subset of this structure](#) before he was silenced. I previously covered Assange's story [here](#).

The American Empire and its Media



Journalists and media executives: New York Daily News and U.S. News & World Report 1: Mortimer B. Zuckerman, publisher | Slate 2: Jacob Weisberg, group editor | The Nation 3: Katrina Vanderhevel, publisher | Foreign Affairs 4: James F. Hoge, former editor 5: Gideon Rose, editor | Foreign Policy 6: Moses Naim, editor | The National Interest 7: Jacob Heilbrunn, editor | The American Interest 8: Francis Fukuyama, executive chairman | Financial Times 9: Martin Wolf, associate editor & chief economics commentator 10: Gideon Rachman, chief foreign affairs commentator | Reuters 11: Stephen J. Adler, president & EIC 12: Tom Glocer, former CEO 13: Harold M. Evans, editor-at-large 14: David Schlesinger, former EIC | Politico 15: Robert Altinton, publisher 16: Garrett Graff, former editor | Bloomberg 17: Michael Bloomberg, owner & CEO 18: John Micklethwait, EIC of Bloomberg News, former EIC of The Economist 19: Matthew Winkler, former EIC of Bloomberg News 20: Daniel Doctoroff, former CEO | Forbes 21: Randall Lane, editor | Los Angeles Times 22: Doyle McManus, Washington bureau chief 23: Shelby Cooley, former editor and EVP | News Corp 24: Rupert Murdoch, executive chairman | Fox News 25: Maria Bartiromo, news anchor 26: Heather Nauert, former news host 27: Dan Senor, commentator 28: Trish Regan, television host 29: Linda Vestor, former news host | Wall Street Journal (News Corp) 30: Peter Kern, former publisher 31: Karen Elliott House, former managing editor 32: L. Gordon Covitz, former publisher 33: Robert Barley, former editor 34: Paul A. Gigot, editorial page editor 35: Alan Murray, deputy managing editor 36: Daniel Henninger, deputy editorial page director 37: Gerald Seib, Washington bureau chief 38: Peggy Noonan, columnist 39: Paul Steiger, former managing editor (1991-2007) | NBC 40: Pamela Thomas Graham, former CEO of CNBC 41: Jack Welch, former CEO of General Electric (former owner of NBCUniversal) 42: Cesar Conde, chairman of NBCUniversal International Group 43: Steve Capus, former president of NBC News 44: Tom Brokaw, news anchor 45: Mika Brzezinski, MSNBC news host 46: Andrea Mitchell, chief foreign affairs correspondent 47: Richard Engel, chief foreign cor. 48: Brian Williams, NBC chief anchor 49: Joe Scarborough, news host 50: Bianna Golodryga, news anchor 51: Arman Mohiyaddin, reporter | The Economist 52: Lynn Forester de Rothschild, co-owner and board member 53: John Elkann (Agnelli family), co-owner and board member 54: Zamy Winton Beddoes, EIC 55: Rupert Murdoch, chairman of the Economist Group 56: Vendeline von Bredow, business correspondent 57: Adrian Wooldridge, foreign correspondent 58: Bill Emmott, former EIC 59: Megan McKelvie, journalist | The New Republic 60: Walter Lippmann, co-founder 61: Chris Hughes, former publisher 62: Peter Benoit, former editor 63: Morton Kondrasko, former executive editor 64: J. Peter Scoblic, former executive editor 65: Ronald Streeb, journalist & professor | Time 66: Norman Pearlstine, chief content officer of Time Inc. 67: Michael Duffy, deputy managing editor 68: Nancy Gibbs, managing editor 69: Henry Luce, founding publisher 70: John Huey, former EIC 71: Richard Stengel, former managing editor 72: Joe Klein, columnist 73: Ian Bremmer, foreign affairs columnist & editor-at-large 74: James Gaines, managing editor (1993-95) 75: Jason McManus, managing editor (1985-87) 76: Henry Grunwald, managing editor (1968-77) | The New York Times 77: Arthur Ochs Sulzberger, former publisher (1963-62) 78: Arthur Hays Sulzberger, former publisher (1935-61) 79: Joseph Kahn, managing editor 80: Andrew Rossenhal, former editorial page editor 81: Serge Schmemmann, international affairs editor 82: Susan Chira, former deputy executive editor 83: David C. Unger, former foreign affairs editor 84: David Sanger, Washington correspondent 85: Thomas Shanker, assistant Washington editor and former Pentagon correspondent 86: Thomas Friedman, foreign affairs columnist 87: Ethan Bronner, former deputy foreign editor 88: Andrew Ross Sorkin, financial columnist 89: Carol Gassano, foreign affairs editor 90: Michael Gordon, chief military correspondent 91: Robert B. Semple, associate editorial page editor 92: Judith Miller, Washington bureau reporter 93: David Brooks, op-ed columnist 94: Nicholas Kristof, op-ed columnist and former associate managing editor | The Washington Post 95: Eugene Meyer, former publisher (1933-49) 96: Jeff Bezos, owner (since 2013) 97: Katharine Graham, former publisher (1969-79) 98: Donald E. Graham, former publisher & chairman (1979-2013) 99: Fred Hart, editorial page editor 100: Glenn Kessler, diplomatic correspondent and fact checker 101: Anne Applebaum, former editorial board member 102: Walter Pincus, national security columnist 103: Jackson Diehl, deputy editorial page editor 104: Charles Krauthammer, columnist 105: Robert Kaiser, former managing editor and senior correspondent 106: David Ignatius, associate editor 107: Eugene Robinson, columnist and chair of Pulitzer Prize Board 108: Karen DeYoung, associate editor 109: Marc Thessen, columnist 110: Richard M. Cohen, columnist 111: Jim Hoagland, associate editor and columnist 112: George F. Will, columnist | CNN (Time Warner) 113: W. Thomas Johnson, former president 114: Walter Isaacson, former CEO 115: Elena Lee, SVP of CNN International and managing editor Asia-Pacific 116: Mark Whitaker, former EVP and managing editor of CNN Worldwide 117: Fareed Zakaria, foreign affairs show host 118: Erin Burnett, news anchor 119: Sanjay Gupta, chief medical correspondent 120: David Gergen, senior political analyst 121: Christiane Amanpour, chief international correspondent 122: Judy Woodruff, news anchor 123: Peter Bergen, national security correspondent 124: Kitty Pilgrim, former news anchor and correspondent 125: Paula Zahn, former news anchor 126: Elinor Labov, global affairs correspondent 127: Jake Tapper, chief Washington cor. 128: Sam Farber, SVP and Washington bureau chief 129: Jeffrey Tobin, legal analyst | CBS News 130: Laurence A. Tisch, former CEO of CBS 131: William Paley, founder of CBS 132: Joseph Califano Jr., CBS director 134: William Cohen, CBS director and former Secretary of Defense 135: Dan Rather, former news anchor 136: Bob Schaffer, news anchor and chief Washington cor. 137: Charlie Rose, talk show host 138: Lesley Stahl, news reporter 139: Margaret Brennan, White House & senior foreign affairs cor. 140: Reena Ninan, news anchor 141: Edward R. Murrow, former broadcast journalist | Time Warner 142: Jeffrey Bewkes, chairman & CEO 143: Gary Ginsberg, communications chief 144: Richard Parsons, former chairman & CEO 145: Gerald Levin, former chairman & CEO | ABC News (Disney) 146: Ben Sherwood, president 147: David Westin, former president 148: George Stephanopoulos, chief anchor & chief political cor. 149: Juju Chang, news anchor 150: Barbara Walters, news anchor and show host 151: Peter Jennings, news anchor 152: Katie Couric, news anchor 153: Diane Sawyer, news anchor 154: Jonathan Karl, chief White House cor. | Disney 155: Michael Egan, former chairman & CEO 156: Morica Lozano, director | The New Yorker 157: David Remnick, EIC 158: Amy Davidson, senior editor international affairs 159: Hendrik Herzberg, principal political commentator 160: Linde Wright, staff writer 161: Evan Osnos, foreign affairs writer 162: Jane Kramer, former editor 163: Mark Danneberg, foreign affairs cor. 164: Nick Paumgarten, staff writer 165: Mattathias Schwartz, staff writer 166: Robin Wright, contributor | The New York Review of Books 167: Robert Silvers, founding editor 168: Barbara Epstein, founding editor | Newsweek 169: Richard M. Smith, former CEO & EIC 170: Jon Meacham, former EIC 171: Janine G. Giovani, Middle East editor 172: Evan Thomas, former Washington bureau chief | The Daily Beast 173: Tina Brown, founding editor 174: Barry Oller, chairman of IAC (owner of Daily Beast) | USA Today 175: Joanne Lipman, EIC & chief content officer 176: David Andelman, international affairs columnist | PBS 177: Donald A. Baer, chairman 178: Hartford N. Gurn, founder 179: Jim Lehrer, former news anchor 180: Margaret Warner, senior correspondent 181: Bill Moyers, former news anchor 182: Jonathan Barzlay, COO | NPR 183: Vivian Schiller, former CEO 184: Gary Knell, former president 185: Tom Gjelten, correspondent 186: Dana Temple-Raston, national security cor. | Alphabet/Google 187: Eric Schmidt, executive chairman | Facebook 188: Sheryl Sandberg, COO and director 189: Marne Levine, VP of global public policy | The Atlantic 190: David G. Bradley, chairman of Atlantic Media | Based on official participant lists and membership rosters, non-exhaustive; no liability assumed.

Abbreviations: B: Bilderberg meeting participant; Br: Bilderberg meeting rapporteur; C: CFR member (incl. term members and former members); D: CFR director; EIC: editor-in-chief; F: CFR fellow; M: married to CFR member; S: son of CFR member; T: Trilateral Commission member (incl. former members). V1.0 © 2017 Swiss Propaganda Research

Many of you reading this are well educated; most have spent a lot of time following political developments. Why do so few know about this structure even though it is both hierarchical and simple to understand? The answer is they make every attempt to conceal it from the public; even the far right basically just focuses on the World Economic Forum or the Federal Reserve or Jews (the relationship between the Jewish people and the central bank owners I covered previously [here](#)). The propaganda outlets would never discuss the actual structure of the world, nor academics or anyone who receives benefits from this system. As the Rothschild firm of London wrote to associates in New York, 1863:

“The few who understand the system will either be so interested in its profits or be so dependent upon its favours that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests.”

A system designed like this *must* stay in the shadows and *must* keep the world’s population focused on distractions. It is more akin to a parasite on a host than anything else. It is a closed

feedback system: it prints unlimited money out of thin air via their privately owned central banks then it feeds the media, academic, intelligence community and political systems which then push values and laws that increase the power of the central banks further and impoverish the general population via increased crime, illegal immigration, etc. It is a closed system so **the ability to impact it from the outside is very low** (the limited extent it can influence the system is *finding ways to decrease the system's legitimacy*, such as via the Canadian trucker protest during COVID), and the #1 priority of the system is to oppress political threats to its continued propagation - hence, it crushes, viciously, any organized opposition. It is basically like the mafia. And this is very dispiriting for those outside the system who want to have an **impact: dissident energies and funds are quite limited without any institutional or foreign support, and when this closed system simply ignores them and doubles down on egalitarianism and open borders the energies of dissidents get depressed and then dissolve...**


With that said they are few in number and we are many; hence populism is what the system is most afraid of, because if enough people wake up to how this worked they would be furious and overthrow it. This is why free speech is de-facto banned and any attempts at organizing are immediately infiltrated with federal provocateurs.



A man painting the Federal Reserve on fire

Now, this is a train with no brakes: every system carries momentum within it, and if a system is not striving *toward* something then it could easily break up amidst squabbling and lack of control. This is what European Central Bank President Christine Lagarde means when she says [CBDCs are required or else they will lose control](#) (even this Substack is tiny evidence of populist attacks on their control; people are slowly, ever so slowly, waking up): they *need* to push forward with instituting total, permanent control over the masses via a slave CBDC system or their criminal enterprise could fall apart. They *need* to further weaken and destroy the host (even though a dead host could kill the parasite) because not doing so entails certain destruction. This is why the EU [wants to import 75 million more illegal migrants](#) in furtherance of the Kalergi plan.

As part of this strategy the central bank owners *could* issue a transition from a unipolar to a multi-polar world as is currently being publicly hinted at in a number of ways and as argued by bloggers like The Dissident Writer with a surface level analysis [here](#), but such a transition would not change the structure of the above hierarchy, especially the upper layers and hence it is false and a lie, even if it ends up in dramatically lowering U.S. quality of living by BRICS de-dollarizing and [shifting off the petrodollar system](#). The negative inflationary effects of unlimited monetary printing pushing more and more people into poverty was recently covered by Theodore Atkinson [here](#); it will get much worse.



Map of multipolar world. Four zones - four poles

Note the intentional demarcation within this pseudo-”multi-polar world” between Europe and Russia in accordance with Mackinder’s still-highly relevant 1904 Heartland Theory, as discussed [here](#)

How did this system arise?

This system originally arose due to carveouts which gave Jewish families the exclusive right to money lend to the masses during the European Middle Ages; see [this post](#) and the second half of [this post](#) for details on this process. A small, highly successful sub-set came to understand how money is the primary driver for most people and that by manipulating the money supply one

could manipulate human behavior for their own ends. There was no competition; no one else understood what money *really* represented at its core, and **it was *not* the ability to trade, consume or wage war but *the ability to shape belief***. It wasn't usually done as crudely as direct bribery; rather, money was printed out of thin air via the Bank of England (established in 1694) and then funneled to favored causes and organizations that would increase its power and destroy their enemies. As Mayer Amschel Rothschild famously stated, "Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation, and I care not who establishes its laws." His lovely wife also supposedly said, "If my sons did not want wars, there would be none."

There has been a **flat zero significant ¹ opposition** to this system since the end of World War 2 where [Germany was set up for failure by the world's financial forces](#). Before that globohomo conquered America in 1913 with the establishment of the Federal Reserve and conquered Russia by overthrowing the Tsar and brutally murdering him and his entire family. China has been owned by globohomo for a long time and their project concluded with the country's induction into the WTO with US patronage, while Iran has always been a globohomo plaything, first by toppling Mossadegh (1953) and then by handing Iran to Khomeini (1979) who was living in Britain before the handover, much as the British handed Russia to the Bolsheviks (and Lenin was also living in the West beforehand). Rurik Skywalker touches on some of these ideas about Iran in his recent post [here](#).

Again, there was not significant competition because the Tsar, kings and emperors were operating on a different, lower plane; they saw the use of money *incorrectly* for tapping its full potential. These kings pursued a personal power maximization strategy, risking their lives and thrones jostling in battles against other kings for territorial changes to improve their reputations. But they were always controlled by the funding that they relied on, and by controlling the money supply the central bank owners could make or break kings (the latter by funding their opposition ²) while setting the *incentives* in place for societal changes as a whole. They had no need to put their own necks on the line or to be identified as the source of power to the public. The central bank owners were five steps ahead and their vision so much greater.



An illustration of the European balance of power. Who do you think was doing the balancing?

The understanding of the globohomo structure is critical to [understanding the Russia/Ukraine war](#) from the proper perspective. It is easy otherwise to get fooled by governmental, media or alternative (usually CIA backed) propaganda [like from Larry Johnson, Scott Ritter or otherwise](#), or by [bloggers like Simplicius](#). Both Russia and Ukraine are mere “Policy Enforcers” of this system and both are beholden to the forces at the layers above them.

This is a big reason why both garden-variety civic nationalism and far-right white nationalism is a dead end: putting aside that the West is rapidly turning brown and black and that the Germans who elected the Nazis had a 98% white country (i.e. it was an easy Schelling point at the time), and that the white world population has shrunk from 25% of the world population in 1900 to 6.5% today, it doesn't understand that their globohomo opposition suppresses nationalism *everywhere*, therefore **resistance to it needs to take place on a global basis from a position of populism and nationalism *everywhere***. Everyone in the world other than the tiny number of central bank owning families and their underlings is a slave to this system, therefore *everyone has an incentive to upend it*. Even if the end goal is nationalism, **it cannot be accomplished while this global system is in force**. A focus on race, gender, sexual orientation, etc. is a distraction given the scope of the problem, except perhaps to understand the [complicated relationship between the central bankers and the Jewish people as a whole](#). Indeed, encouraging the populations of the world to fight amongst each other, rooted in the [egalitarian ratchet effect](#), is one of globohomo's chief methods of control to keep people from discovering their ongoing theft. Any objective of self-sovereignty *must begin* with the identification of this system as it structurally exists and then fought locally and worldwide with the conviction that globohomo's

national “leaders” are mere powerless figureheads for global forces. In other words, the North Star when viewing “new political or social developments” should be with the structure and motivations of the world’s central bank owners in mind. And as OGRE [points out](#), the more knowledge of truth spreads the weaker globohomo becomes.

Thanks for reading.


tritorch@protonmail.com	Subscribe
-------------------------	-----------


- 1 Qaddafi in Libya and Saddam in Iraq [wanted to get off this system](#) but were destroyed quite rapidly in response.
- 2 According to Professor Stuart Crane [as told by Gary Allen](#), “If you will look back at every war in Europe during the Nineteenth Century, you will see that they always ended with the establishment of a ‘balance of power’. With each reshuffling there was a balance of power in a new grouping around the House of Rothschild in England, France or Austria. **They grouped nations so that if any king got out of line a war would break out and the war would be decided by which way the financing went.** Researching the debt positions of the warring nations will usually indicate who was to be punished.”



100 Likes · 26 Restacks

55 Comments

 Write a comment...

 Daniel D A Ghost in the Machine Apr 15  Liked by Neoliberal Feudalism

Great post! The way money is used by the central banking families to ensorcell billions of people and fuel the banksters' social control mechanisms, it really adds another layer of depth to the profoundly true statement, "The love of money is the root of all evil."

 LIKE (8)  REPLY  SHARE 

1 reply

 Yet Another Tommy Tommy's Excellent Newsletter Apr 15  Liked by Neoliberal Feudalism

uh, yes.

"...when enough capitalists have been weeded out, and tools like social media become ubiquitous and powerful enough, the balance of power begins to shift from the capitalist to the starving blog editors in their multitudes, and the integument of capitalism is burst asunder, like an eggshell cracking or an animal shedding its skin for a new one.

Attempts to prevent, forestall or control this is exactly why the "Great Reset", the pseudopandemic, the climate scams, depopulation, medical tyranny, and related enclosures of the commons have been unleashed upon us. A covert world government controlled by centralized capital is already in place and we can at least be relieved that it is apparently not interested in an unrestricted and maybe nuclear world war."

The Bursting Integument

<https://tomg2021.substack.com/p/the-bursting-integument>

♡ LIKE (6) 💬 REPLY ↗ SHARE



1 reply

53 more comments...